



RESEARCH ARTICLE

Edge-vertex dominating sets and edge-vertex domination polynomials of centipedes

A.Vijayan^{1*}, J. Sherin Beula²

¹Department of Mathematics, Nesamony Memorial Christian College, Marthandam-629165, Tamil Nadu, India

²Department of Mathematics, Vethamonikam Memorial CSI Polytechnic College, Marthandam-629165, Tamil Nadu, India

Received 10 February, 2016; Accepted 25 March 2016

Available online 25 March 2016

Abstract

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph. A set $S \subseteq E(G)$ is an edge-vertex dominating set of G (or simply an ev -dominating set), if for all vertices $v \in V(G)$, there exists an edge $e \in S$ such that e dominates v . Let P_n^* be the centipede corresponding to the path P_n and let $D_{ev}(P_n^*, i)$ denote the family of all ev -dominating sets of P_n^* with cardinality i . Let $d_{ev}(P_n^*, i) = |D_{ev}(P_n^*, i)|$. In this paper, we obtain a recursive formula for $d_{ev}(P_n^*, i)$. Using this recursive formula, we construct the Polynomial, $D_{ev}(P_n^*, x) = \sum_{i=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}^n d_{ev}(P_n^*, i)x^i$, which

we call Edge-Vertex Domination polynomial of P_n^* and obtain some properties of edge-vertex dominating sets of centipedes.

Keywords

Edge-Vertex Dominating Sets

Edge-Vertex Domination Number

Edge-Vertex Domination Polynomials

1. Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph of order $|V| = n$. A set $S \subseteq V(G)$ is a dominating set of G , if every vertex $v \in V \setminus S$ is adjacent to at least one vertex in S . For any vertex $v \in V$, the open neighbourhood of v is the set $N(v) = \{u \in V / uv \in E\}$ and the closed neighbourhood of v is the set $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$. For a set $S \subseteq V$, the open neighbourhood of S is $N(S) = \cup_{v \in S} N(v)$ and the closed neighbourhood of S is $N[S] = N(S) \cup S$. The domination

number of a graph G is defined as the minimum size of a dominating set in G and it is denoted as $\gamma(G)$. A Path is a connected graph in which end vertices have degree one and the remaining vertices have degree two, and is denoted by P_n . The centipede P_n^* consists of a path P_n in which each vertex imbedded with a pendant edge and a pendant vertex.

Definition 1.1

For a graph $G = (V, E)$, an edge $e = uv \in E(G)$, ev -dominates a vertex $w \in V(G)$ if

*Corresponding author,
E-mail : dravijayan@gmail.com

- (i) $u = w$ or $v = w$ (w is incident to e) or
(ii) uw or vw is an edge in G (w is adjacent to u or v).

Definition 1.2[1]

A set $S \subseteq E(G)$ is an edge-vertex dominating set of G (or simply an ev -dominating set), if for all vertices $v \in V(G)$, there exist an edge $e \in S$ such that e dominates v . The ev -domination number of a graph G is defined as the minimum size of an ev -dominating set of edges in G and it is denoted as $\gamma_{ev}(G)$.

Definition 1.3[2]

Let $D_{ev}(P_n^*, i)$ be the family of ev -dominating sets of a centipede graph P_n^* with cardinality i and let $d_{ev}(P_n^*, i) = |D_{ev}(P_n^*, i)|$. We call the polynomial $D_{ev}(P_n^*, x) = \sum_{i=\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}^n d_{ev}(P_n^*, i)x^i$ the ev -domination polynomial of the graph P_n^* . As usual we use $\lfloor x \rfloor$ for the largest integer less than or equal to x and $\lceil x \rceil$ for the smallest integer greater than or equal to x . Also, we denote the set $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ by $[e_n]$ and the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ by $[n]$, throughout this paper.

2. Edge-vertex dominating sets of centipedes

Lemma 2.1:[3] $\gamma_{ev}(P_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor$.

By Lemma 2.1 and the definition of ev -domination number, one has the following Lemma:

Lemma 2.2.[4]

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

- i) $\gamma_{ev}(P_n^*) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$
ii) $\gamma_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$
iii) $D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) = \Phi$ if and only if $i < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ or $i > 2n-1$
iv) $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i) = \Phi$ if and only if $i < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ or $i > 2n-2$

Proof:

- (i) Clearly, if n is even $\{e_2, e_6, e_{10}, \dots, e_{2n-2}\}$ is a minimum ev -dominating set for P_n^* and if n is

odd $\{e_1, e_4, e_8, \dots, e_{2n-2}\}$ is one of the minimum ev -dominating set for P_n^* . If n is even or odd it contains $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ elements. Hence $\gamma_{ev}(P_n^*) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

- (ii) Clearly, if n is even $\{e_2, e_4, \dots, e_{2n-2}\}$ is one of the minimum ev -dominating set for $P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}$ and if n is odd $\{e_2, e_6, \dots, e_{2n-4}\}$ is the minimum ev -dominating set for $P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}$. If n is even or odd it contains $\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ elements. Hence $\gamma_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$.

- (iii) It follows from (i) and the definition of ev -dominating set.

- (iv) It follows from (ii) and the definition of ev -dominating set. For the construction of $D_{ev}(P_n^*, i)$ we consider $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1)$, $D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1)$ and $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1)$.

Lemma 2.3[5]

- i) If $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) = D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) = \Phi$, then $D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) = \Phi$.
ii) If $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) \neq \Phi$ and $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$, then $D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$.
iii) If $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) = D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) = D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) = \Phi$, then $D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) = \Phi$.
iv) If $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) = D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) = \Phi$ and $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$, then $D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) \neq \Phi$.

Proof:

- i) If $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) = D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) = \Phi$, by Lemma 2.2 (iii), $i-1 < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ or $i-1 > 2n-2$ and $i-1 < \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor$ or $i-1 > 2n-5$. Therefore, $i-1 < \lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \rfloor$ or $i-1 > 2n-2$. Therefore, $i-1 < \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$ or $i-1 > 2n-3$. Therefore, $D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) = \Phi$.
ii) If $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) \neq \Phi$, then by lemma 2.2(iii) we have, $i-1 \geq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$ and $i-1 \leq 2n-2$

If $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$, then by lemma 2.2 (iv) we have, $i-1 \geq \left\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rceil$ and $i-1 \leq 2n-5$. Therefore, $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \leq i-1 \leq 2n-5$. Since, $i-1 \leq 2n-2$, which implies $i-1 \leq 2n-3$ and $i-1 \geq \left\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rceil$, which implies $i-1 \geq \left\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rceil$. Therefore, $i-1 \geq \left\lceil \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rceil$ and $i-1 \leq 2n-3$. Therefore, $D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$.

iii) If $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) = D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) = D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) = \Phi$, then by lemma 2.2 (iii) and (iv) we have, $i-1 < \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ or $i-1 > 2n-2$, $i-1 < \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor$ or $i-1 > 2n-3$ and $i-1 < \left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor$ or $i-1 > 2n-5$. Hence, $i-1 < \left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor$ or $i-1 > 2n-2$. Therefore, $i < \left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor + 1$ or $i > 2n-1$. Therefore, $i < \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ or $i > 2n-1$. Therefore, $D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) = \Phi$.

iv) If $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) = D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) = \Phi$ then by Lemma 2.2 (iii) and (iv) we have, $i-1 < \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ or $i-1 > 2n-2$, $i-1 < \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor$ or $i-1 > 2n-3$. If $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$, by Lemma 2.2 (iii) we have, $i-1 \geq \left\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rceil$ and $i-1 \leq 2n-5$. Since $i-1 \leq 2n-5$, $i-1 > 2n-3$ is not possible. Hence, $i-1 \geq \left\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rceil$ and $i-1 \leq 2n-2$ hold. Therefore, $i \geq \left\lceil \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rceil + 1$ and $i \leq 2n-1$. Therefore, $i \geq \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$ and $i \leq 2n-1$. Therefore, $D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) \neq \Phi$.

Theorem 2.4[6]

If $D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) \neq \Phi$, then

- i) $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) \neq \Phi$ and $D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) = D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) = \Phi$ if and only if

ii) $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) \neq \Phi, D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$ and $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) = \Phi$, if and only if $i = 2n-3$ or $i = 2n-2$.

iii) $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) \neq \Phi, D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi, D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$, if and only if $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \leq i \leq 2n-4$.

iv) $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) = D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) = \Phi$ and $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$, if and only if $n = 2k$ and $i = k$ for some $k \in N$.

Proof:

i) (\Rightarrow) Since $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) \neq \Phi$ and $D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) = D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) = \Phi$ by lemma 2.2 (iii) and (iv) we have, $i-1 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ and

$$i-1 \leq 2n-2 \quad (2.1)$$

$$i-1 < \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor \text{ or } i-1 > 2n-3 \quad (2.2)$$

$$i-1 < \left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor \text{ or } i-1 > 2n-5 \quad (2.3)$$

Hence, from (2.2) and (2.3) we have, $i-1 < \left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor$ or $i-1 > 2n-3$. By (2.1) $i-1 < \left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor$ is not possible.

Therefore, $i-1 > 2n-3$. Therefore, $i-1 \geq 2n-2$. Therefore, $i \geq 2n-1$ (2.4)

But, $i-1 \leq 2n-2$. Therefore, $i \leq 2n-1$. (2.5)
From (2.4) and (2.5), $i = 2n-1$.

(\Leftarrow) If $i = 2n-1$, $i-1 = 2n-2$ then by lemma 2.2 (iii) and (iv), $i-1 > 2n-3$.

Therefore, $D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) = \Phi$. And $i-1 > 2n-5$. Therefore, $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) = \Phi$. Also we have, $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \leq i-1 < 2n-1$. Therefore, $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \leq i-1 \leq 2n-2$. Therefore, $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) \neq \Phi$.

ii) (\Rightarrow) Since $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) \neq \Phi, D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$ and $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) = \Phi$, by lemma 2.2 (iii) and (iv) we have, $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \leq i-1 \leq 2n-2$ (2.6)

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor \leq i-1 \leq 2n-3 \quad (2.7)$$

$$\text{And } i-1 < \left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor \text{ or } i-1 > 2n-5 \quad (2.8)$$

From (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \leq i-1 \leq 2n-3 \quad (2.9)$$

$i-1 < \left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor$ is not possible. Therefore,

$i-1 > 2n-5$. Therefore, $i > 2n-4$. Therefore, $i \geq 2n-3$. But, $i-1 \leq 2n-3$. Therefore, $i = 2n-3$ or $i = 2n-2$.

(\Leftarrow) Assume $i = 2n-3$, $i-1 = 2n-4$ or $i = 2n-2$, $i-1 = 2n-3$

Case (i): If $i-1 = 2n-4$ then by lemma 2.2 (iii) and

(iv), $i-1 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ and $i-1 \leq 2n-2$. Therefore,

$D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) \neq \Phi$ and $i-1 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor$ and

$i-1 \leq 2n-3$. Therefore, $D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$. Also, $i-1 = 2n-4 > 2n-5$. Therefore, $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) = \Phi$.

Case (ii): If $i-1 = 2n-3$ then by lemma 2.2 (iii) and

(iv), $i-1 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ and $i-1 \leq 2n-2$. Therefore,

$D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) \neq \Phi$ and $i-1 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor$ and

$i-1 \leq 2n-3$. Therefore, $D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$. Also, $i-1 = 2n-3 > 2n-5$. Therefore, $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) = \Phi$.

iii) (\Rightarrow) Since $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) \neq \Phi$,

$D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$ and $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$ by lemma

2.2 (iii) and (iv), $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \leq i-1 \leq 2n-2$,

$\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor \leq i-1 \leq 2n-3$ and $\left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor \leq i-1 \leq 2n-5$.

So, $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \leq i-1 \leq 2n-5 \Rightarrow \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \leq i \leq 2n-4$.

(\Leftarrow) If $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \leq i \leq 2n-4$, then by lemma 2.2 (iii)

we have, $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor \leq i-1 \leq 2n-2$,

$\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor \leq i-1 \leq 2n-3$ and $\left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor \leq i-1 \leq 2n-5$.

Therefore,

$D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) \neq \Phi$, $D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$, and $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$

(iv) (\Rightarrow) Since

$D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) = D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) = \Phi$, by

lemma 2.2 (iii) and (iv) we have, $i-1 < \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor$ or

$i-1 > 2n-2$, $i-1 < \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor$ or $i-1 > 2n-3$.

Hence, $i-1 < \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor$ or $i-1 > 2n-2$. If

$i-1 > 2n-2$, then $i > 2n-1$ and by lemma 2.2

(iii), $D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) = \Phi$, a contradiction. So,

$i-1 < \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor$ (2.10)

And since $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$ we have,

$\left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor \leq i-1 \leq 2n-5$ (2.11)

From (2.10) and (2.11), $\left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor \leq i-1 < \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor$.

When n is a multiple of 2, $\left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor = \frac{n}{2} - 1$ and

$\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor = \frac{n}{2}$. Therefore, $\frac{n}{2} - 1 \leq i-1 < \frac{n}{2}$.

Therefore, $i-1 = \frac{n}{2} - 1$ we get, $i = \frac{n}{2}$. Thus, when

$n = 2k$, (2.11) holds good and $i = \frac{n}{2} = k$. When

$n \neq 2k$, $\left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1$ and $\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1$.

Therefore, $\left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1 \leq i-1 < \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \right\rfloor - 1$, which is not

possible. Hence, $n = 2k$ and $i = k$.

(\Leftarrow) If $n = 2k$ and $i = k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then by

lemma 2.2, $\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{2k-1}{2} \right\rfloor = k = i > i-1$

Therefore, $D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) = \Phi$. And

$\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{2k-1}{2} \right\rfloor = k-1 = i-1$. Therefore,

$D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) = \Phi$. Now,

$\left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{2k-2}{2} \right\rfloor = k-1 = i-1$. Therefore,

$\left\lfloor \frac{n-2}{2} \right\rfloor \leq i-1$ which implies $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$.

Theorem 2.5[7]

i) If $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) \neq \Phi$, $D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) = \Phi$
and $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) = \Phi$ then

$$D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) = \{X \cup \{e_{2n-1}\} / X \in D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1)\}.$$

ii) If $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) = D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) = \Phi$ and
 $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$ then

$$D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) = \{X \cup \{e_{2n-2}\} / X \in D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1)\}.$$

iii) If $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) = D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$ and
 $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) = \Phi$ then

$$D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{X_1 \cup \{e_{2n-1}\} / X_1 \in D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1)\} \cup \\ \{X_2 \cup \{e_{2n-2}\} / X_2 \in D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1)\} \end{array} \right\}.$$

iv) If $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) \neq \Phi$, $D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$
and $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$ then

$$D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{X_1 \cup \{e_{2n-1}\} / X_1 \in D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1)\} \cup \\ \{X_2 \cup \{e_{2n-2}\} / X_2 \in D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1)\} \cup \\ \{X_3 \cup \{e_{2n-2}\} / X_3 \in D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1)\} \end{array} \right\}$$

Proof:

i) By theorem 2.4 (i), $i = 2n - 1$. Since in this case
 $D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) = D_{ev}(P_n^*, 2n - 1) = \{\{e_{2n-1}\}\}$ and

$$D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) = D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, 2n - 1) = \{\{e_{2n-2}\}\}$$

, then we have the result.

ii) Let $Y_1 = \{X \cup \{e_{2n-2}\} / X \in D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1)\}$.

Obviously $Y_1 \subseteq D_{ev}(P_n^*, i)$. Now, let $Y \in D_{ev}(P_n^*, i)$
then $e_{2n-2} \in Y$. If $e_{2n-2} \in Y$, then we can write
 $Y = X \cup \{e_{2n-2}\}$, for some $X \in D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1)$, that is
 $Y \in Y_1$. Thus we have proved that, $D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) \subseteq Y_1$.

Hence $D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) = \{X \cup \{e_{2n-2}\} / X \in D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1)\}$.

iii) Let $Y_1 = \{X_1 \cup \{e_{2n-1}\} / X_1 \in D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1)\}$
and $Y_2 = \{X_2 \cup \{e_{2n-2}\} / X_2 \in D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1)\}$.

Obviously, $Y_1 \cup Y_2 \subseteq D_{ev}(P_n^*, i)$. Now, Let

$Y \in D_{ev}(P_n^*, i)$ then e_{2n-1} or $e_{2n-2} \in Y$. If $e_{2n-1} \in Y$,
then we can write $Y = X_1 \cup \{e_{2n-1}\}$, for some

$X_1 \in D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1)$, that is $Y \in Y_1$. If
 $e_{2n-1} \notin Y$ and $e_{2n-2} \in Y$, then we can write

$Y = X_2 \cup \{e_{2n-2}\}$, for some $X_2 \in D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1)$,

that is $Y \in Y_2$.

Thus, we have proved that, $D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) \subseteq Y_1 \cup Y_2$.

Hence

$$D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{X_1 \cup \{e_{2n-1}\} / X_1 \in D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1)\} \cup \\ \{X_2 \cup \{e_{2n-2}\} / X_2 \in D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1)\} \end{array} \right\}$$

iv) Let $Y_1 = \{X_1 \cup \{e_{2n-1}\} / X_1 \in D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1)\}$,

$Y_2 = \{X_2 \cup \{e_{2n-2}\} / X_2 \in D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1)\}$ and

$Y_3 = \{X_3 \cup \{e_{2n-2}\} / X_3 \in D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1)\}$.

Obviously, $Y_1 \cup Y_2 \cup Y_3 \subseteq D_{ev}(P_n^*, i)$. Now, let

$Y \in D_{ev}(P_n^*, i)$ then e_{2n-1} or $e_{2n-2} \in Y$. If

$e_{2n-1} \in Y$, then we can write $Y = X_1 \cup \{e_{2n-1}\}$, for
some $X_1 \in D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1)$, that is $Y \in Y_1$. If

$e_{2n-1} \notin Y$ and $e_{2n-2} \in Y$, then we can write

$Y = X_2 \cup \{e_{2n-2}\}$ or $Y = X_3 \cup \{e_{2n-2}\}$, for some

$X_2 \in D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1)$ and $X_3 \in D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1)$, that

is $Y \in Y_2$ and Y_3 . Thus, we have proved that,

$$D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) \subseteq Y_1 \cup Y_2 \cup Y_3.$$

Hence

$$D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{X_1 \cup \{e_{2n-1}\} / X_1 \in D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1)\} \cup \\ \{X_2 \cup \{e_{2n-2}\} / X_2 \in D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1)\} \cup \\ \{X_3 \cup \{e_{2n-2}\} / X_3 \in D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1)\} \end{array} \right\}$$

3. Edge-vertex domination polynomials of centipedes

Let $D_{ev}(P_n^*, x) = \sum_{i=\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor}^n d_{ev}(P_n^*, i) x^i$ be the edge-vertex

domination polynomials of a centipede P_n^* . In this
section, we derive the expression for $D_{ev}(P_n^*, x)$.

Theorem 3.1[8]

i) If $D_{ev}(P_n^*, i)$ is the family of edge-vertex
dominating sets with cardinality i of P_n^* , then
 $|D_{ev}(P_n^*, i)| = |D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1)| + |D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1)| + |D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1)|$

ii) For $n = 3$,

$$D_{ev}(P_n^*, x) = x \left[D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, x) + D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, x) + D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, x) \right]$$

with initial values $D_{ev}(P_1^*, x) = x$,

$$D_{ev}(P_2^*, x) = x^3 + 3x^2 + x,$$

$$D_{ev}(P_3^* - \{e_5\}, x) = x^4 + 4x^3 + 5x^2 + x.$$

Proof:

i) Using (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) of theorem 2.5, we prove (i) part. Suppose, $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) \neq \Phi$,

$D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) = \Phi$ and $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) = \Phi$ then

$D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) = \{[e_{2n-1}]\}$. Therefore, $|D_{ev}(P_n^*, i)| = |[e_{2n-1}]| = 1$.

In this case $|D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1)| = |[e_{2n-1}]| = 1$

and $|D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1)| = |D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1)| = 0$.

Therefore, in this case the theorem holds.

Suppose $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) = D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) = \Phi$

and $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$ then

$D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) = \{X \cup \{e_{2n-1}\} / X \in D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1)\}$.

In this case $|D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1)| = |D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1)| = 0$

and $|D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1)| = 1$. Therefore,

$|D_{ev}(P_n^*, i)| = 1 + |D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1)| + |D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1)|$.

Therefore, $|D_{ev}(P_n^*, i)| = 1$. Therefore,

$|D_{ev}(P_n^*, i)| = |D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1)| + |D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1)| + |D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1)|$

Suppose $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) = D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$

and $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) = \Phi$. In this case we have,

$$D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{X_1 \cup \{e_{2n-1}\} / X_1 \in D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1)\} \cup \\ \{X_2 \cup \{e_{2n-2}\} / X_2 \in D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1)\} \end{array} \right\}$$

by theorem 2.5(iii). Therefore,

$|D_{ev}(P_n^*, i)| = |D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1)| + |D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1)| + |D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1)|$

.Suppose $D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) = D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$

and $D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1) \neq \Phi$.

In this case we have,

$$D_{ev}(P_n^*, i) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{X_1 \cup \{e_{2n-1}\} / X_1 \in D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1)\} \cup \\ \{X_2 \cup \{e_{2n-2}\} / X_2 \in D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1)\} \cup \\ \{X_3 \cup \{e_{2n-2}\} / X_3 \in D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1)\} \end{array} \right\}$$

by theorem 2.5 (iv). Therefore,

$|D_{ev}(P_n^*, i)| = |D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1)| + |D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1)| + |D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1)|$

Hence the theorem.

ii) $d_{ev}(P_n^*, i) = d_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) + d_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) + d_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1)$.

$\sum d_{ev}(P_n^*, i)x^i = \sum d_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1)x^i + \sum d_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1)x^i + \sum d_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1)x^i$

$\sum d_{ev}(P_n^*, i)x^i = x[\sum d_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1)x^{i-1} + \sum d_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1)x^{i-1} + \sum d_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1)x^{i-1}]$

$D_{ev}(P_n^*, i)x^i = x[D_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, x) + D_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, x) + D_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, x)]$

With initial values $D_{ev}(P_1^*, x) = x$,

$D_{ev}(P_2^*, x) = x^3 + 3x^2 + x$,

$D_{ev}(P_3^* - \{e_5\}, x) = x^4 + 4x^3 + 5x^2 + x$.

Using theorem 3.1, we obtain $d_{ev}(P_n^*, i)$ for $1 \leq n \leq 6$ and $1 \leq i \leq 11$ as shown in **Table 1**.

Table 1. $d_{ev}(P_n^*, i)$ the number of edge-vertex dominating set of P_n^* with cardinality i .

i	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
n											
P_1^*	1										
$P_2^* - \{e_5\}$	2	1									
P_2^*	1	3	1								
$P_3^* - \{e_5\}$	1	5	4	1							
P_3^*	0	3	8	5	1						
$P_4^* - \{e_5\}$	0	4	14	14	6	1					
P_4^*	0	0	5	32	69	67	34	9	1		
$P_5^* - \{e_5\}$	0	0	6	47	124	155	108	44	10	1	
P_5^*	0	0	1	21	102	212	229	143	53	11	1

In the following theorem, we obtain some properties of $d_{ev}(P_n^*, i)$.

Theorem 3.2

The following properties hold for the coefficients of $D_{ev}(P_n^*, x)$;

1) $d_{ev}(P_n^*, 2n) = 0$, for every $n \in N$.

2) $d_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, 2n-2) = 1$, for every $n \in N$.

3) $d_{ev}(P_n^*, 2n-1) = 1$, for every $n \in N$.

4) $d_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, 2n-3) = 2n-2$, for every $n \geq 2 \in N$.

5) $d_{ev}(P_n^*, 2n-2) = 2n-1$, for every $n \geq 2 \in N$.

6) $d_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, 2n-4) = (2n-1)(n-2)$, for every $n \geq 3 \in N$.

7) $d_{ev}(P_n^*, 2n-3) = (n-1)(2n-1) - 2$, for every $n \geq 3 \in N$.

Proof:

1) Proof of (1) is obvious. That is $d_{ev}(P_n^*, 2n) = 0$, for every $n \in N$.

2) Since $d_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, 2n-2) = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_{2n-2}\}$ we have, $d_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, 2n-2) = 1$, for every $n \in N$.

3) Since $d_{ev}(P_n^*, 2n-1) = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_{2n-1}\}$ we have, $d_{ev}(P_n^*, 2n-1) = 1$.

4) Proof of (4) follows from the **Table 1**.

5) By induction on n , the result is true for $n=2$.

LHS = $d_{ev}(P_4^*, 6) = 7$ (From Table 1). RHS = $(2 \times 4 - 1) = 7$. Therefore, the result is true for $n=2$. Now suppose that the result is true for all numbers less than n and we prove it for n . By theorem 3.1, $d_{ev}(P_n^*, 2n-2) = d_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, 2n-3) + d_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, 2n-3) + d_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, 2n-3)$
 $d_{ev}(P_n^*, 2n-2) = (2n-2) + 1 + 0 = 2n-1$

.6) Proof of (6) follows from the Table 1.

7) By induction on n , the result is true for $n=3$. LHS = $d_{ev}(P_3^*, 3) = 8$ (From Table 1). RHS = $(3-1)(6-1) - 2 = 8$. Therefore, the result is true for $n=3$. Now suppose that the result is true for all numbers less than n and we prove it for n . By theorem 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} d_{ev}(P_n^*, 2n-3) &= d_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, 2n-4) + d_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, 2n-4) + d_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, 2n-4) \\ &= (2n-1)(n-2) + 2(n-1) - 1 + 0 \\ &= (2n-1)(n-2) + (2n-1) - 2 \\ &= (2n-1)(n-2+1) - 2 \\ &= (2n-1)(n-1) - 2 \end{aligned}$$

4. Conclusion

In [9], the domination polynomial of path was studied and obtained the very important property,

$$d(P_n, i) = d(P_{n-1}, i-1) + d(P_{n-2}, i-1) + d(P_{n-3}, i-1)$$

It is interesting that we have derived an analogues relation for the Edge-Vertex domination of centipede of the form,

$$d_{ev}(P_n^*, i) = d_{ev}(P_n^* - \{e_{2n-1}\}, i-1) + d_{ev}(P_{n-1}^*, i-1) + d_{ev}(P_{n-2}^*, i-1)$$

One can characterise the roots of the polynomial $D_{ev}(P_n^*, x)$ and identify whether they are real or complex. Another interesting character to be investigated is whether $D_{ev}(P_n^*, x)$ is log concave or not.

References

- [1] G. Chartand, P. Zhang, "Introduction to Graph Theory," McGraw-Hill, Boston, 2005.
- [2] E. SampathKumar, S.S. Kamath, *Indian J. of Statistics*, 54, (1992), 399.
- [3] A. Vijayan, J. Sherin Beula, *International Organization Sci. Res. J. Mathematics*, 10(6), (2014), 7.
- [4] A. Vijayan, J. Sherin Beula, *Open J. Discrete Mathematics*, 5, (2015), 74.
- [5] S. Alikhani, Y.-H. Peng, *J. Discrete Mathematical Sci. Cryptography*, 12(4), (2009), 411.
- [6] A. Vijayan, K. Lal Gipson, *Open J. Discrete Mathematics*, 3, (2013), 60.
- [7] S. Alikhani, Y.H. Peng, "Introduction to Domination Polynomial of a Graph," (2009).
- [8] S. Alikhani, Y.H. Peng, "Dominating Sets and Domination Polynomials of Cycles," 20, (2009).
- [9] S. Alikhani, Y.H. Peng, *International J. Mat. Mathematical Sci.*, (2009).